

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,798	07/02/2003	Gesine Arends	2493 A	5336	
75	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
STRIKER, ST 103 East Neck F	RIKER & STENBY		PATEL, VINIT H		
Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>5</i> ,			1764	-	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	:				
	10/612,798	ARENDS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	;				
	Vinit H. Patel	1764	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status			:				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	July 2003.		:				
	nis action is non-final.		:				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s, prosecution as to the merits i	: s				
closed in accordance with the practice unde		•	- -				
Disposition of Claims			:				
·							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application			:				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	,	: :				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ivor election requirement.		:				
Application Papers		•	:				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		:				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	:				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	•				
		10/-\ (4) (5)					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (t).	:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/396,144. 							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/390, 144.							
application from the International Bure	·	Solved in this Hational Stage	· :				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			;				
	,	•	:				
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C	5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	:				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>23Oct03</u> .	6) [_] Other:	•					

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vogel et al., DE 19721630 C1.

Regarding claims 1-3, Vogel teaches a reforming apparatus comprising burners b having a heating element, and a two-part reforming reactor, having reactors c and d (Fig. 1; C6/L58-C7/L38). Furthermore, the manner of operating a device does not distinguish the device from the prior art. See MPEP 2114.

Regarding claim 5, Vogel teaches burner b has orifice plates (apportioning elements) at the inlet and outlet ends for uniform distribution of temperature along the burner surfaces (Fig. 1; C6/L58-C7/L38).

Regarding claim 7, Vogel teaches the two-part reforming reactor, having reactors c and d are coaxial one another (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Vogel teaches the burner b and two-part reforming reactor, having reactors c and d are coaxial one another (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 9, Vogel teaches the burner b is arranged approximately central the reactors c and d and the heating elements (Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 1764

Regarding claim 10, Vogel teaches a reforming apparatus useful in fuel cells (C1/L1-C2/L1), comprising burners b having a heating element, and a two-part reforming reactor, having reactors c and d (Fig. 1; C6/L58-C7/L38). Furthermore, the manner of operating a device does not distinguish the device from the prior art. See MPEP 2114.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogel et al., DE 19721630 C1.

Regarding claim 4, Vogel teaches all of the limitations as applied to claim 3 above, but does not explicitly teach the heating element disposed between the first and second reactor. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vogel by moving the location of the burner heating elements as such a change is a mere rearrangement of parts to provide more efficient heat exchange within the reactor. See <u>In re Japikse</u>, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

Regarding claim 6, Vogel teaches all of the limitations as applied to claim 5 above. Vogel does not explicitly teach the orifice plates (apportioning elements) are controlled by a controlling unit, but teaches that burner emission is controlled to

Art Unit: 1764

maintain efficiency (C1/L1-C2/L1), therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Vogel to include a controlling unit for the purpose to provide more precise burner emission control.

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vogel et al., DE 19721630 C1, in view of Autenrieth et al., US Patent No. 6,432,378.

Regarding claim 11, Vogel teaches all of the limitations as applied to claim 10 above, but does not explicitly teach the fuel cell may be used in a motor vehicle.

Autenrieth teaches that fuel cell may be used in motor vehicles and it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify Vogel to be used in the a motor vehicle for the purpose to provide a fuel cell/hydrogen generating apparatus with less complex machinery and a low amount of heat loss (C1/L1-C2/L1).

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/396,144. This is a

Art Unit: 1764

provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been

patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vinit H. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VHP

Glenn Caldarola

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 5